

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,634	KALIES, KEN EDWARD
	Examiner Vicky A. Johnson	Art Unit 3682

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vicky A. Johnson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Lazarus. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,6, and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *It is agreed that the proposed amendment overcomes the prior art of record and with regard to the Double Patenting rejection the applicant has agreed to submit arguments of the unobvious differences in the application, which will be considered by the Examiner.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
6/17/05  
Examiner's signature, if required